

10.1

Monica Tonel

To (b) (6)

06/08/2001 10 27 AM

cc Judy Smith/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark Ader/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject Re Upper Columbia River Sampling



Dear (b) (6)

This is to acknowledge receipt by EPA of your comment regarding the Upper Columbia River sampling.

A copy of your comment to EPA, dated June 7, 2001, will be maintained in the Agency site file which is available for review by the public upon request.

Thank you for your comment and if you have any questions regarding EPA's site assessment process, please feel free to contact me at (206) 553-0323 or via e-mail at tonel.monica@epa.gov.

Sincerely,
Monica Tonel
EPA Site Assessment Manager

(b) (6)



(b) (6)

To Monica Tonel/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

cc: Mark Ader/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Judy Smith/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

06/07/2001 11 50 PM

Subject. Upper Columbia River Sampling

It was with much consternation, disdain and anger that a so called "Environmental Fact Sheet" with the subject heading arrived in todays (6-07-2001) mail. Yep! Who would have predicted that an EPA "preliminary assessment" would have concluded a site investigation of the Upper Columbia River was WARRANTED. Hey, it seems logical, if it wasn't warranted, you wouldn't have an excuse to go collect data and generate reams of phony lab reports, on sites that EPA will automatically conclude requires a deplorably expensive clean-up program, all underwritten, governed and dictated by just one more Alphabet Soup Agency. EPA has cost every citizen of these Republics insurmountable & unnecessary expense since their inception, low how many years ago. What is really needed by we in Eastern Washington is to be left the hell alone, especially by the EPA.

149458

USEPA SF



1119997